

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/869,324

Applicant(s)

DYLLICK-BRENZINGER ET AL.

Examiner

Daniel S. Metzmaier

Art Unit

1712

## All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Harris A Pitlick.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 13 May 2004

Time: ~12:20 PM

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Obviousness rejection over Henkel (of record).*

Claims discussed:

1.

Prior art documents discussed:

*Henkel.*

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Discussed the scope of the claims and the description at page 4 of the instant specification for making the 3-thiaakan-1-ols of the claims. The examiner indicated an office action would be prepared and sent out.*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)